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Overview

• Regulatory issues and needs
  – Capture
  – Transport
  – Storage
• Regulatory scheme design
• Regulatory developments to date
• Policy issues & incentives
Regulatory Issues: CO$_2$ capture

Change in exhaust characteristics:
- Plume behaviour
- Thermal buoyancy
- Concentration of pollutants

Energy penalty:
- Power for compression

Change in wastewater discharges:
- Trace amine concentrations

Energy penalty:
- Steam reactivation

New solid/liquid wastes:
- Spent amine solvent

- No new permitting issues presented
- Need to ensure issues covered in scope of existing power plant permitting

---

1 Layout based on typical post-combustion process. Issues apply across most capture technologies
Regulatory Issues: CO$_2$ pipelines

- Pipeline corridor
- High pressure of pipeline relative to water / natural gas
- Asphyxiation risks

No major new regulatory issues
Needs appropriate:
- Routing and signage
- Materials
- Construction standards

Lessons available from the US, Canada (EOR/AGI operations) and Netherlands (for greenhouses)
Regulatory Issues: CO₂ storage

Local EHS regulatory issues
- Surface release
  - Suffocation
  - Ecosystem impacts (tree roots, ground animals)
- CO₂ in subsurface
  - Metals mobilisation
  - Other contaminant mobilisation
- Quantity-based
  - Ground heave
  - Induced seismicity
  - Displacement of groundwater resources
  - Damage to hydrocarbon production

Geological sequestration risks

International issue (UNFCCC/Kyoto)
- Surface release
  - CO₂ back to the atmosphere

Source: Adapted from Wilson and Keith (2002)
**CO₂ storage: IPCC view**

- “Fraction retained in appropriately selected and managed **geological** reservoirs is
  - very likely to exceed 99% over 100 years, and
  - is likely to exceed 99% over 1,000 years.

  ("Likely" is a probability between 66 and 90%, "very likely" of 90 to 99%)

- But only if: appropriate **site selection**, a **monitoring** program to detect problems, a **regulatory system**, remediation methods [..], risks are comparable to risks of current activities (natural gas storage, EOR, disposal of acid gas)”
CO$_2$ storage: how to regulate?

- Why and how to regulate?
  - To authorise use of subsurface property for storing CO$_2$
  - To mitigate risk of leakage and/or unintended migration
  - To ensure liability allocated to recover cost of damages
- Leakage **IS NOT** an inherent function of storing CO$_2$
- Leakage **IS** a function of:
  - Site characterisation and selection
  - Risk assessment
  - Risk management
    - Monitoring and reporting
    - Remediation and short-term liability
  - Decommissioning
  - Stewardship and long-term liability
- **Regulations must be designed to cover these issues**
CO$_2$ storage: Site characterisation

- **Ultimate objective:** to find and select sites with evidence of effective long term trapping mechanisms

Source: IPCC SRCCS, 2005
**CO$_2$ storage: Risk management**

**Local EHS risks**
- Civil, Criminal law, etc.
- Evidence of damage and proof of negligence key features
- Will depend on liability in regulatory regime

**Global EHS risks**
- Offset, or
- ........?
- ........?

**MONITORING & REPORTING**
- Environmental (H&S) Impact Assessment
- Physical remediation
- Financial instruments (insurance, bonds etc.)
CO₂ storage: Remediation

Potential escape mechanisms:

A.  
B.  
C. escapes through ‘gap’  
D.  
E.  
F.  
G.  

Remedial measures:
Regulation: International

**2006 IPCC Inventory Guidelines:**
Rules for monitoring under UNFCCC
Require countries with CO₂ storage to have undertaken:
- Site characterization
- Leakage risk assessment
- Monitoring (risk management)
- Reporting (risk management)

Allows the country to report stored CO₂ as *not emitted*
Means CCS can be used for compliance with emission reduction commitments
Not yet approved by governments

**London Convention/Protocol:**
OSPAR Convention:
Modified to allow sub-seabed CO₂ storage
Sets requirements for:
- Site characterization
- Risk assessment
Provide guidelines for risk assessment
Require signatories to implement regulations to permit CO₂ storage

**IEA CCS Legal and Regulatory Guidelines:**
Under development
Will provide a framework for countries to follow

**Transboundary movements of CO₂:**
Uncertainty over whether allowed:
- London Convention - still includes a prohibition
- Basel/Bamako Conventions - not yet considered
Regulation: Regional

- **Canada**: EOR and acid gas injection; recommendations
- **EU/Norway**: Legal framework that needs to be implemented by Member States
- **United States**: - Draft EPA law (based on protection of groundwater) - State law under development
- **Australia**: Offshore and state laws agreed
Regulation: EU experience

• CO₂ Geological Storage Directive (2008)
  – Storage site permit process introduced. Permit given if there is evidence of “no significant risk of leakage”
  – Prescribes to some degree site selection, characterisation, risk assessment and monitoring plan
  – Allows liability for the store to transfer to Member State when evidence indicates that the “CO₂ is completely and permanently contained”.
  – Confers some existing laws onto CCS activities (e.g. integrated pollution control permits for CCS units; environmental impact requirements)
  – Removes obstacles in some existing laws (e.g. waste, water)

• Developing further guidelines for site selection etc

• Member States need to implement the CCS-Directive into National Law by mid-2011
Policy issues: CCS needs incentives

• **Public policy:** only way to create business cost linked to externalities (i.e. emitting CO₂)

• Range of options for CCS:
  – Emissions trading (cap-and-trade, project-based)
  – Carbon tax (although can just pay)
  – Technology mandate/portfolio standard
  – Tariff design (e.g. feed-in tariff or product support mechanism)

• Need careful design to support CCS through different phases of technology evolution

• Different incentives for different applications: e.g. early demo in power plants may need additional CO₂ price support
Policy: support timing and level

- CO₂ transport, EOR
- Pre/post combustion, gas/oil fields, aquifer storage
- Oxyfuel, ECBM?

Market share

Upscaling

Demonstration

R&D

Commercialisation

Time
### Policy: “early opportunity” costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Industry</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2020</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abatement potential (MtCO₂)</td>
<td>Average cost ($/tCO₂)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Process</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>$18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonia</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>$62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fertiliser</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>$92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethanol</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refineries</td>
<td>292</td>
<td>$115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>$115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cement</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>$138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coal power</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas power</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,240</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Notes:
1. IPCC define *early opportunities* as projects that [are likely to] “involve CO₂ captured from a high-purity, low-cost source, the transport of CO₂ over distances of less than 50 km, coupled with CO₂ storage in a value-added application such as EOR.” Early opportunities here includes longer transport distances and lower purity sources (e.g. cement).
Policy and Regulation interaction

- Intrinsic link between incentives and regulation
- Current UNFCCC issues for CCS in CDM
  (a) Non-permanence, including long-term permanence;
  (b) Measuring, reporting and verification;
  (c) Environmental impacts;
  (d) Project activity boundaries;
  (e) International law;
  (f) Liability;
  (g) The potential for perverse outcomes;
  (h) Safety;
  (i) Insurance coverage and compensation for damages caused due to seepage or leakage.
- All linked to secure storage = regulatory issues that can underpin permanence issue
Incentives: EU experience

- **EU Emissions Trading Scheme (2008)**
  - Installations capturing CO\(_2\) are not required to surrender emission permits ("allowances") for the amounts captured and shipped offsite *only if CO\(_2\) shipped to permitted CO\(_2\) geological storage site*
  - Capture, transport and storage facilities are included as regulated installations – if they emit, they must surrender allowances (manages "global risk")
  - Monitoring guidelines introduced for these types of installations

- **New Entrant Reserve of EU ETS (2010) – co-finance**
  - 300 million "allowances" to be distributed to CCS and other innovative renewable energies
  - Up to 8 CCS projects to receive 50% of ‘relevant costs’; Max. 45 M allowances per project

- **European Energy Package for Recovery (EEPR) – co-finance**
  - €1.05 Bn funds for 6 x CCS projects
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