



Energy research Centre of the Netherlands

# CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies: An Overview


Ruud van den Brink




## Outline

- Power plants and industry: CO<sub>2</sub> sources and concentrations
- State-of-the-art CO<sub>2</sub> capture: extra fuel required
- Improved CO<sub>2</sub> capture technologies
- Demonstration projects in Europe

## A thermal power plant



# A gas turbine combined cycle power plant




## Industrial CO<sub>2</sub> sources

- Industrial Boilers: diluted CO<sub>2</sub>
- Refineries, fertilizer production: concentrated CO<sub>2</sub>
- Synthetic fuels production (e.g., biodiesel): concentrated CO<sub>2</sub>
- Steel industry
- Cement production: 20% CO<sub>2</sub>


## Conventional CO<sub>2</sub> removal used in industry

- CO<sub>2</sub> is captured by a amine solution
- Regeneration costs a lot of energy



## Capturing CO<sub>2</sub> costs extra fuel


- The efficiency of a power plant is:  $\frac{\text{Energy in the fuel}}{\text{Energy in the electricity}}$
- Modern coal plant: 45%; with CO<sub>2</sub> capture: 35%.



## Solvent chemistry

- Major solvents: amines

MEA, monoethanolamine




MEA solution (e.g., 30% in water) has the highest capacity and reactivity




- At low temperature equilibrium at right hand side
- At higher temperatures equilibrium at left hand side

# CO<sub>2</sub> capture Installation



## Power losses caused by CO<sub>2</sub> capture




## How to improve CO<sub>2</sub> capture ?

- Reduce the efficiency penalty and the CO<sub>2</sub> capture costs by:
  - Save steam for regeneration of the amine solvent:
    - capture CO<sub>2</sub> from more concentrated streams
    - Better integration in the power plant
  - Save compression costs: make CO<sub>2</sub> available at higher pressure

## CO<sub>2</sub> capture technology

- There are three possible ways of CO<sub>2</sub> capture:
  - Post-combustion capture
  - Pre-Combustion capture
  - Oxyfuel

## Post-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture




- + 'Standard' power plant
- + Retrofit to existing power plants is possible
- High efficiency penalty
- Not yet proven on large scale in power plant
- Solvent losses, environmental pollution

## Post combustion Ways forward


- Novel solvents:
  - Low regeneration energy, high stability
  - E.g., CORAL, and many, many others
- Chilled Ammonia
  - Requires much less steam for regeneration
  - Still to be proven at scale

## Pre-combustion CO<sub>2</sub> capture




- + Lower efficiency penalty
- + Proven in large scale hydrogen production
- + Different products possible
- Coal gasifier is needed
- Many process steps

# Conventional CO<sub>2</sub> scrubbing




- Multiple process steps
- Hydrogen-rich gas is at low temperature before gas turbine

# Membrane water gas shift reactor




## Sorption-enhanced water gas shift (SEWGS)

- Catalyst is combined with  $\text{CO}_2$  sorbent
- When sorbent is saturated with  $\text{CO}_2$ , it is regenerated with steam




Ordinary water-gas shift



Sorption-enhanced water-gas shift


## Oxyfuel CO<sub>2</sub> capture




- + Air separation is proven technology
- + Large potential for improvement
- Air separation is expensive
- Burning coal or gas in pure oxygen requires new technology

## Oxyfuel Demonstrations

Vattenfal: Schwarze Pumpe (D)  
30 MW pilot plant under construction



# Oxyfuel Chemical looping combustion



## Awarded EU Demonstration Projects

Germany, Jaenschwalde Vattenfall: 180 MEuro	Oxyfuel and the post combustion technology on an existing power plant site.
Netherlands, Rotterdam EON and Electrabel: 180 MEuro	Coal power plant with 250MW equivalent using post-combustion technology. Storage of CO <sub>2</sub> in a depleted offshore gas field near the plant.
Italy, Porto-Tolle ENEL: 100 MEuro	Post-combustion capture to treat flue gases corresponding to 250 MW electrical output of a new 660 MW coal plant. Storage in an offshore saline aquifer nearby.
Poland, Belchatow PGE: 180 MEuro	Capture from flue gases corresponding to 250MW electrical output in a new supercritical lignite-fired plant. Storage in saline aquifer nearby.
Spain, Compostilla Endesa: 180 MEuro	Oxyfuel and fluidised bed technology on a 30MW pilot plant which will be upscaled to 320 MW. Storage in a saline aquifer nearby.
UK, Hatfield Powerfuel: 180 MEuro	Demonstration of CCS on a new, 900 MW IGCC power plant. Storage in an offshore gas field nearby.

## Conclusions

- CO<sub>2</sub> capture can be done from different sources in thermal power plants and in industry.
- Most practised CO<sub>2</sub> capture technology is post-combustion capture using amines
  - Big demonstration projects being build to prove CO<sub>2</sub> capture in power plants
  - Efficiency can be improved, costs reduced
- New, more efficient technologies are in development